

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

California State Nonroad Engine Pollution Control Standards; Transport Refrigeration Units; Request for Authorization; Opportunity for Public Hearing and Comment

**AGENCY**: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION**: Notice.

SUMMARY: The California Air Resources Board (CARB) has notified EPA that it has adopted amendments to California's "Airborne Toxic Control Measure for In-Use Diesel-Fueled Transport Refrigeration Units (TRU) and TRU Generator Sets and Facilities Where TRUs Operate." CARB has asked that EPA confirm that the TRU amendments either fall within the scope of the authorization EPA granted on January 9, 2009, pursuant to section 209(e) of the Clean Air Act, or are not subject to Clean Air Act preemption. This notice announces that EPA has tentatively scheduled a public hearing to consider California's TRU amendments, and that EPA is now accepting written comment on the request.

DATES: EPA has tentatively scheduled a public hearing concerning CARB's request on January 30, 2013, at 10:00 a.m. ET. EPA will hold a hearing only if any party notifies EPA by January 17, 2013, expressing interest in presenting the agency with oral testimony. Parties wishing to present oral testimony at the public hearing should provide written notice to Kristien Knapp at the e-mail address noted below. If EPA receives a request for a public hearing, that hearing will be held at 1310 L Street, NW, Washington, DC 20005. If EPA does not receive a request for a public hearing, then EPA will not hold a hearing, and instead consider CARB's request based on written submissions to the docket. Any party may submit written comments until March 1, 2013.

By January 25, 2013, any person who plans to attend the hearing may call Kristien Knapp at (202) 343-9949, to learn if a hearing will be held.

**ADDRESSES**: Submit your comments, identified by Docket ID No. EPA-HQ-OAR-2012-0741, by one of the following methods:

- On-Line at <a href="http://www.regulations.gov">http://www.regulations.gov</a>: Follow the On-Line Instructions for Submitting Comments.
- E-mail: a-and-r-docket@epa.gov.
- Fax: (202) 566-1741.
- Mail: Air and Radiation Docket, Docket ID No. EPA-HQ-OAR-2012-0741,
   U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Mailcode: 6102T, 1200 Pennsylvania
   Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20460. Please include a total of two copies.
- Hand Delivery: EPA Docket Center, Public Reading Room, EPA West
   Building, Room 3334, 1301 Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20460.
   Such deliveries are only accepted during the Docket's normal hours of operation,
   and special arrangements should be made for deliveries of boxed information.

On-Line Instructions for Submitting Comments: Direct your comments to Docket ID No. EPA-HQ-OAR-2012-0741. EPA's policy is that all comments we receive will be included in the public docket without change and may be made available online at <a href="http://www.regulations.gov">http://www.regulations.gov</a>, including any personal information provided, unless the comment includes information claimed to be Confidential Business Information (CBI) or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Do not submit information that you consider to be CBI or otherwise protected through

<a href="http://www.regulations.gov">http://www.regulations.gov</a> website is an

"anonymous access" system, which means EPA will not know your identity or contact information unless you provide it in the body of your comment. If you send an e-mail comment directly to EPA without going through <a href="http://www.regulations.gov">http://www.regulations.gov</a>, your e-mail address will automatically be captured and included as part of the comment that is placed in the public docket and made available on the Internet. If you submit an electronic comment, EPA recommends that you include your name and other contact information in the body of your comment and with any disk or CD-ROM you submit. If EPA cannot read your comment due to technical difficulties and cannot contact you for clarification, EPA may not be able to consider your comment. Electronic files should avoid the use of special characters, any form of encryption, and be free of any defects or viruses. For additional information about EPA's public docket visit the EPA Docket Center homepage at <a href="http://www.epa.gov/epahome/dockets.htm">http://www.epa.gov/epahome/dockets.htm</a>.

EPA will make available for public inspection materials submitted by CARB, written comments received from any interested parties, and any testimony given at the public hearing. Materials relevant to this proceeding are contained in the Air and Radiation Docket and Information Center, maintained in Docket ID No. EPA-HQ-OAR-2012-0741. Publicly available docket materials are available either electronically through <a href="http://www.regulations.gov">http://www.regulations.gov</a> or in hard copy at the Air and Radiation Docket in the EPA Headquarters Library, EPA West Building, Room 3334, located at 1301 Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC. The Public Reading Room is open to the public on all federal government work days from 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m.; generally, it is open Monday through Friday, excluding holidays. The telephone number for the

Reading Room is (202) 566-1744. The Air and Radiation Docket and Information

Center's Web site is http://www.epa.gov/oar/docket.html. The electronic mail (e-mail)

address for the Air and Radiation Docket is: a-and-r-Docket@epa.gov, the telephone

number is (202) 566-1742, and the fax number is (202) 566-9744. An electronic version

of the public docket is available through the federal government's electronic public

docket and comment system. You may access EPA dockets at

<a href="http://www.regulations.gov">http://www.regulations.gov</a> website, enter

EPA-HQ-OAR-2012-0741, in the "Enter Keyword or ID" fill-in box to view documents

in the record. Although a part of the official docket, the public docket does not include

Confidential Business Information ("CBI") or other information whose disclosure is

restricted by statute.

EPA's Office of Transportation and Air Quality also maintains a webpage that contains general information on its review of California waiver requests. Included on that page are links to prior waiver and authorization *Federal Register* notices. The page can be accessed at <a href="http://www.epa.gov/otaq/cafr.htm">http://www.epa.gov/otaq/cafr.htm</a>.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Kristien G. Knapp, Attorney-Advisor, Compliance Division, Office of Transportation and Air Quality, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue (6405J), NW, Washington, DC 20460. Telephone: (202) 343-9949. Fax: (202) 343-2804. E-mail: knapp.kristien@epa.gov.

#### **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

#### I. California's TRU Regulations

By letter dated May 13, 2011, CARB submitted to EPA its request pursuant to section 209(e) of the Clean Air Act ("CAA" or "the Act"), regarding its "Airborne Toxic

Control Measure for In-Use Diesel-Fueled Transport Refrigeration Units (TRU) and TRU Generator Sets and Facilities Where TRUs Operate" (hereinafter "CARB's TRU Amendments"). CARB's TRU Amendments accomplish three main objectives: (1) relax the TRU in-use compliance requirements for all 2003 and some 2004 model year TRUs and TRU generator sets (collectively referred to as "TRUs"), (2) clarify the operational useful life of TRU flexibility engines, and (3) establish new reporting and recordkeeping requirements for TRU original equipment manufacturers (OEMs). CARB formally adopted the TRU Amendments on February 4, 2011, and they became operative under California law on March 7, 2011. The TRU amendments are codified at title 13, California Code of Regulations, section 2477.

EPA granted an authorization for California's initial set of TRU regulations on January 9, 2009, notice of which was published in the *Federal Register* on January 16, 2009.<sup>4</sup> CARB seeks EPA's confirmation that the TRU Amendments either fall within the scope of that previous authorization, pursuant to section 209(e) of the Clean Air Act, or are not subject to Clean Air Act preemption.

#### II. Clean Air Act Nonroad Engine and Vehicle Authorizations

Section 209(e)(1) of the Act permanently preempts any State, or political subdivision thereof, from adopting or attempting to enforce any standard or other requirement relating to the control of emissions for certain new nonroad engines or vehicles. States are also preempted from adopting and enforcing standards and other requirements related to the control of emissions from non-new nonroad engines or

<sup>1</sup> California Air Resources Board ("CARB"), "Request for Authorization," May 13, 2011.

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> CARB, "Resolution 10-39," November 18, 2010; CARB, "Executive Order R-11-001," February 2, 2011.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> CARB, "Final Regulation Order for title 13, California Code of Regulations, section 2477."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> 74 FR 3030 (January 16, 2009).

vehicles. Section 209(e)(2) requires the Administrator, after notice and opportunity for public hearing, to authorize California to enforce such standards and other requirements, unless EPA makes one of three findings. In addition, other states with attainment plans may adopt and enforce such regulations if the standards, and implementation and enforcement procedures, are identical to California's standards. On July 20, 1994, EPA promulgated a rule that sets forth, among other things, regulations providing the criteria, as found in section 209(e)(2), which EPA must consider before granting any California authorization request for new nonroad engine or vehicle emission standards.<sup>5</sup> EPA later revised these regulations in 1997.<sup>6</sup> As stated in the preamble to the 1994 rule, EPA has historically interpreted the section 209(e)(2)(iii) "consistency" inquiry to require, at minimum, that California standards and enforcement procedures be consistent with section 209(a), section 209(e)(1), and section 209(b)(1)(C) (as EPA has interpreted that subsection in the context of section 209(b) motor vehicle waivers).<sup>7</sup>

In order to be consistent with section 209(a), California's nonroad standards and enforcement procedures must not apply to new motor vehicles or new motor vehicle

-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> 59 FR 36969 (July 20, 1994).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> 62 FR 67733 (December 30, 1997). The applicable regulations, now in 40 CFR part 1074, subpart B, § 1074.105, provide:

<sup>(</sup>a) The Administrator will grant the authorization if California determines that its standards will be, in the aggregate, at least as protective of public health and welfare as otherwise applicable federal standards.

<sup>(</sup>b) The authorization will not be granted if the Administrator finds that any of the following are true:

<sup>(1)</sup> California's determination is arbitrary and capricious.

<sup>(2)</sup> California does not need such standards to meet compelling and extraordinary conditions.

<sup>(3)</sup> The California standards and accompanying enforcement procedures are not consistent with section 209 of the Act.

<sup>(</sup>c) In considering any request from California to authorize the state to adopt or enforce standards or other requirements relating to the control of emissions from new nonroad spark-ignition engines smaller than 50 horsepower, the Administrator will give appropriate consideration to safety factors (including the potential increased risk of burn or fire) associated with compliance with the California standard.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> 59 FR 36969 (July 20, 1994).

engines. To be consistent with section 209(e)(1), California's nonroad standards and enforcement procedures must not attempt to regulate engine categories that are permanently preempted from state regulation. To determine consistency with section 209(b)(1)(C), EPA typically reviews nonroad authorization requests under the same "consistency" criteria that are applied to motor vehicle waiver requests. Pursuant to section 209(b)(1)(C), the Administrator shall not grant California a motor vehicle waiver if she finds that California "standards and accompanying enforcement procedures are not consistent with section 202(a)" of the Act. Previous decisions granting waivers and authorizations have noted that state standards and enforcement procedures are inconsistent with section 202(a) if: (1) there is inadequate lead time to permit the development of the necessary technology giving appropriate consideration to the cost of compliance within that time, or (2) the federal and state testing procedures impose inconsistent certification requirements.

If California amends regulations that were previously granted an authorization, EPA can confirm that the amended regulations are within the scope of the previously granted authorization. Such within-the-scope amendments are permissible without a full authorization review if three conditions are met. First, the amended regulations must not undermine California's determination that its standards, in the aggregate, are as protective of public health and welfare as applicable federal standards. Second, the amended regulations must not affect consistency with section 202(a) of the Act. Third, the amended regulations must not raise any "new issues" affecting EPA's prior authorizations.

### III. EPA's Request for Comments

As stated above, EPA is offering the opportunity for a public hearing, and requesting written comment on issues relevant to a within-the-scope analysis.

Specifically, we request comment on: whether California's TRU Amendments (1) undermine California's previous determination that its standards, in the aggregate, are at least as protective of public health and welfare as comparable Federal standards, (2) affect the consistency of California's requirements with section 209 of the Act, and (3) raise any other new issues affecting EPA's previous waiver or authorization determinations.

Should any party believe that the TRU amendments are not within the scope of the previous TRU authorization, EPA also requests comment on whether the California TRU Amendments meet the criteria for a full authorization. Specifically, we request comment on: (a) whether CARB's determination that its standards, in the aggregate, are at least as protective of public health and welfare as applicable federal standards is arbitrary and capricious, (b) whether California needs such standards to meet compelling and extraordinary conditions, and (c) whether California's standards and accompanying enforcement procedures are consistent with section 209 of the Act.

# IV. Procedures for Public Participation

If a hearing is held, the Agency will make a verbatim record of the proceedings. Interested parties may arrange with the reporter at the hearing to obtain a copy of the transcript at their own expense. Regardless of whether a public hearing is held, EPA will keep the record open until March 1, 2013. Upon expiration of the comment period, the Administrator will render a decision on CARB's request based on the record from the

public hearing, if any, all relevant written submissions, and other information that she

deems pertinent. All information will be available for inspection at the EPA Air Docket

No. EPA-HQ-OAR-2012-0741.

Persons with comments containing proprietary information must distinguish such

information from other comments to the greatest extent possible and label it as

"Confidential Business Information" ("CBI"). If a person making comments wants EPA

to base its decision on a submission labeled as CBI, then a non-confidential version of the

document that summarizes the key data or information should be submitted to the public

docket. To ensure that proprietary information is not inadvertently placed in the public

docket, submissions containing such information should be sent directly to the contact

person listed above and not to the public docket. Information covered by a claim of

confidentiality will be disclosed by EPA only to the extent allowed, and according to the

procedures set forth in 40 CFR Part 2. If no claim of confidentiality accompanies the

submission when EPA receives it, EPA will make it available to the public without

further notice to the person making comments.

Dated: December 26, 2012.

Christopher Grundler, Director,

Office of Transportation and Air Quality,

Office of Air and Radiation.

[FR Doc. 2012-31720 Filed 01/03/2013 at 8:45 am; Publication Date: 01/04/2013]

9